

19 June 2025

Dear

Ref: OIA-2024/25-0888

Official Information Act request relating to social media use and young people

Thank you for your Official Information Act 1982 (the Act) request received by the Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet (DPMC) on 12 May 2025. You requested:

"Any information held, produced or received by the Department of Prime Minister and Cabinet since November 27, 2023 related to:

- An age limit for social media use
- The impacts of social media use on young people."

On 9 June 2025, I wrote to you extending the time limit for response by 11 working days, to 26 June 2025. The additional time was needed to complete consultations before a final decision was made on your request. I am now in a position to respond.

Information for Release

Please find attached a copy of emails identified as being relevant to your request, as set out in the table below.

ltem	Date	Subject Line	Decision
ltem 1	29/04/2025	RE: Copy of Draft Bill	Release covering emails, some information withheld under: s9(2)(a) s9(2)(f)(iv) s9(2)(g)(i) Refuse copy of Bill under: s18(d)
Item 2	21/04/2025	Re: Aide Memoire to Rt Hon Christopher Luxon - Protecting Children's Safety Online	Release with some information withheld under: s9(2)(a)

As marked on the released documents, some information has been withheld under the following sections of the Act:

- 9(2)(a) of the Act, to protect the privacy of individuals.
- 9(2)(f)(iv), to maintain the confidentiality of advice tendered by or to Ministers and officials
- 9(2)(g)(i), to maintain the effective conduct of public affairs through the free and frank expression of opinion.

Attached to the email for Item 1 was a copy of the draft Member's Bill. The draft Bill is publicly available on the National Party website at: <u>assets.national.org.nz/SocialMediaAge-</u>

<u>RestrictedUsersBill.pdf</u>. Your request as it relates to the draft Member's Bill is refused under section 18(d) of the Act, on the basis that the draft Bill is already currently available.

Information Withheld

There are some emails that have been withheld in full. These were some emails exchanged in confidence as part of the process to formulate advice and some draft talking points for the announcement to the media on 11 May 2025 that the Minister of Education, Hon Erica Stanford would be leading the government work investigating possible restrictions of access to social media by young people. These emails have been withheld under the following sections of the Act:

- 6(a), to protect the security or defence of New Zealand or the international relations of New Zealand
- 9(2)(f)(iv), to maintain the confidentiality of advice tendered by or to Ministers and officials
- 9(2)(g)(i), to maintain the effective conduct of public affairs through the free and frank expression of opinion.

The announcement made by the Prime Minister was reported widely at the time in the media, such as on this RNZ item: <u>www.rnz.co.nz/news/political/560623/social-media-ban-for-young-people-to-be-investigated-luxon</u>.

The only other information identified as relevant to your request are some briefings provided by DPMC's Policy Advisory Group to the Prime Minister. These briefings are provided to the Prime Minister in confidence to support him in his role as leader of the Government and chair of Cabinet.

These briefings are withheld in their entirety under the following sections of the Act:

- 9(2)(f)(iv), to maintain the confidentiality of advice tendered by or to Ministers and officials
- 9(2)(g)(i), to maintain the effective conduct of public affairs through the free and frank expression of opinion.

Where section 9 of the Act applies, in making my decision, I have considered the public interest considerations in section 9(1) of the Act. No public interest has been identified that would be sufficient to outweigh the reasons for withholding that information.

You have the right to ask the Ombudsman to investigate and review my decision under section 28(3) of the Act.

This response will be published on the Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet's website during our regular publication cycle. Typically, information is released monthly, or as otherwise determined. Your personal information including name and contact details will be removed for publication.

Yours sincerely



Janine Smith **Deputy Chief Executive, Policy**

Item 1

From:	<u>^Parliament: Cameron Burrows</u>
To:	Nikki Hurst
Cc:	s9(2)(a): PM's Office
Subject:	RE: Copy of Draft Bill
Date:	Tuesday, 29 April 2025 1:51:39 pm
Attachments:	image001.png
	Social Media (Age Appropriate Users) Bill.pdf

Thanks Nikki

As I mentioned to Janine, \$9(2)(f)(iv), \$9(2)(g)(i)

Thanks Cam

Cameron Burrows Chief of Staff Office of Rt Hon Chris Luxon

s9(2)(a)

formation From: Nikki Hurst <Nikki.Hurst@dpmc.govt.nz> Sent: Tuesday, 29 April 2025 8:06 AM To: Cameron Burrows < Cameron.Burrows@parliament.govt.nz> Subject: Copy of Draft Bill

SENSITIVE UNCLASSIFIED

Morning Cam,

Janine has let me know that the PM is interested in social media policy, and that there is a draft bill in this direction.

s9(2)(f)(iv), s9(2)(g)(i)

could I please have access to the draft?

Nikki Hurst

Advisor

Policy Advisory Group

Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet

₽s9(2)(a)

Ms9(2)(a)

E nikki.hurst@dpmc.govt.nz

[Copy of the draft Member's Bill that was attached to this email is publicly available at: https://assets.national.org.nz/SocialMediaAge-RestrictedUsersBill.pdf]

32,0981

s9(2)(a): PM's Office From: To: Nikki Hurst Subject: Re: Aide Memoire to Rt Hon Christopher Luxon - Protecting Children''s Safety Online Date: Monday, 21 April 2025 1:43:24 pm Attachments: image001.png Outlook-cid image0.png

Hi there,

Yes - all good.

Let touch base on Wednesday.

s9(2)(a)

Get Outlook for iOS

From: Nikki Hurst <Nikki.Hurst@dpmc.govt.nz> Sent: Monday, April 21, 2025 11:11:03 AM To: \$9(2)(a): PM's Office Anna Clark [DPMC] <Anna.Clark@dpmc.govt.nz> Subject: Fw: Aide Memoire to Rt Hon Christopher Luxon - Protecting Children's Safety Online

IN CONFIDENCE UNCLASSIFIED

Kia ora^{s9(2)(a)} & Anna,

I'm still on leave tomorrow (Tuesday), but will get on to this Wednesday. I'm guessing we wouldn't actually send anything through until the PM is back from Europe at any rate.

Nikki Hurst Advisor Policy Advisory Group Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet P s9(2)(a) M s9(2)(a) E nikki.hurst@dpmc.govt.nz cid:image002.png@01D43609.296F69C0

The information contained in this email message is for the attention of the intended recipient only and is not necessarily the official view or communication of the Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet. If you are not the intended recipient you must not disclose, copy or distribute this message or the information in it. If you have received this message in error, please destroy the email and notify the sender immediately.

From: s9(2)(a): PM's Office

Sent: Friday, 18 April 2025 11:44 am

To: Nikki Hurst <Nikki.Hurst@dpmc.govt.nz>; Anna Clark [DPMC] <Anna.Clark@dpmc.govt.nz> Cc: \$9(2)(a): PM's Office

Subject: FW: Aide Memoire to Rt Hon Christopher Luxon - Protecting Children's Safety Online

FYI/FYA.

PM will be keen to respond to this – could we please work something up? (When people are back!)

Many thanks s9(2)(a)

From: s9(2)(a): PM's Office	
Sent: Friday, 18 April 2025 11:41	
To: Cecilia Robinson <mark>s9(2)(a)</mark>	
Subject: RE: Aide Memoire to Rt Hon Christopher Luxon - Pro	tecting Children's Safety Online
Good morning Cecilia,	ACI
Thank you very much for your email and for sharing this aide-	memoire. I will pass it to the Prime
Minister and to some of my colleagues for their information.	
Have a happy Easter.	mail
Best regards	$\langle O^{\mathbf{x}} \rangle$
s9(2)(a)	
	•
s9(2)(a)	
Office of the Prime Minister, Rt Hon Christopher Luxon D: \$9(2)(a) M: \$9(2)(a) Parliament Buildings, Wellington 6160	
From: Cecilia Robinson <mark>\$9(2)(a)</mark>	
Sent: Thursday, 17 April 20 2 5 15:58	
To: Christopher Luxon < Christopher.Luxon@parliament.govt.	<u>nz</u> >
Cc: s9(2)(a): PM's Office	
Subject: Re: Aide Memoire to Rt Hon Christopher Luxon - Pro	tecting Children's Safety Online
Dear Prime Minister Luxon,	
On behalf of the Children's Online Safety Society, I'm pleased	to share the attached Aide
Memoire outlining urgent steps to address the growing harm of	
access to social media.	
Building on your strong leadership in removing cellphones from	n schools, we believe there is now
a critical opportunity to lead on broader online safety—at a tir	
behind other nations such as Australia, the UK and the US.	

We recommend two key actions:

1. **Child Online Safety Legislation**, with obligations on platforms, a regulator, and enforceable standards; and

2. A National Awareness Campaign to support parents and build consensus for change.

We would welcome the opportunity to discuss how this work could align with your Government's priorities for the wellbeing and safety of our tamariki.

Co-CEO & Founder (2)(a)		
	?	
		Alle
Visit: Tend.nz Facebo	<u>ook Instagram Twitter</u>	κO`
immediately, destroy it, an	d do not copy or use any part	idential. If you are not the intended recipient, you should not read it - please contact me t of this communication or disclose anything about it. Thank you. Please note that this em for the purposes of the Contract and Commercial Law Act 2017.
	al view or communication It you must not disclose	isage is for the attention of the intended recipient only and is not on of the Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet. If you are not e, copy or distribute this message or the information in it. If you have oy the email and notify the sender immediately.
the intended recipien		
the intended recipien	the	0
the intended recipien	der the	0
the intended recipien received this messag	nderthe	0
the intended recipien received this messag	underthe	0
the intended recipien	underthe	6

AIDE MEMOIRE

From Children's Online Safety Society to Rt Hon Christopher Luxon Protecting children's online safety: Solutions to prevent harm 17 April 2025

Purpose of this briefing

To provide you with a high-level briefing on required Government action to address online harm to children. In short, a legislative fix is required as in other comparable countries. We are an outlier.

Summary of the problem and our recommended solution

Evidence shows that children and young people in New Zealand are exposed to a range of harms through unrestricted access to social media, including:

- mental health deterioration;
- cyberbullying;
- exposure to harmful, exploitative and violent content; and
- access to predators, grooming and sexual exploitation.

There is an urgent need for action, as the mental health of young people is being significantly harmed. This presents an opportunity for the Government to lead this action and prioritise the mental health, wellbeing and safety of children and young people in New Zealand in the lead-up to the 2026 general election, and building on the excellent work you have done on cell phones in schools.

Overseas jurisdictions, including Australia, the United Kingdom, the European Union and the United States have introduced legislation to protect young people online. We have not.

Children's Online Safety Society recommends a two-pronged solution of:

- Child online safety legislation: that would broadly include obligations on certain social media platforms in relation to children under 16 years of age; a broad definition of social media platforms; an independent regulator to implement the framework; and industry codes and standards. The legislation would be broadly aligned with the Australian model.
- Public awareness and education campaign: that would include a national multimedia campaign to inform parents, build public consensus, and create a new norm around children's online habits.

Children's Online Safety Society recommends that the Government immediately initiates a policy process to develop a regulatory framework to protect children from online harm, with a view to introducing legislation in the next Parliamentary term.

Appendix 1 provides further information on the problem and solutions.

Appendix 2 provides a classification of online risks to children.

Appendix 3 provides a comparison of features of comparable international frameworks.

APPENDIX 1

Children's Online Safety Society

The Children's Online Safety Society is a group of New Zealanders that are concerned about the harmful impact of social media on our youth, and are committed to advocating for Government action and community awareness.

Social media is leading to several harms for children and young people

Children and young people in New Zealand are exposed to a range of harms through unrestricted access to social media.¹ These include mental health deterioration, cyberbullying, exposure to pornography, grooming, disordered eating, sextortion and exploitation, and violent content. Research and international examples show the negative impact of early and unsupervised use of these platforms.²

A continued failure to address the risks of social media to New Zealand's youth will lead to:

- continued and unsustainable demands on health, education and justice portfolios;
- New Zealand falling further behind comparative jurisdictions and potentially being in breach of the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child (UNCROC) to prioritise children's rights and online safety by failing to take adequate, proactive steps; and
- comparatively unhealthy and unproductive generations of New Zealanders, due to the residual issues from mental health illnesses, and unhealthy sexual and relationship impacts originating from social media harm.

In general, regulation of social media attempts to balance individuals' freedom of expression and the risk of online harm. However, overseas jurisdictions have recognised that there is an exception to this general approach for children and young people.³ The vulnerability of children and young people to the harms associated with social media supports the need for proactive steps to be taken to protect them.

Currently, New Zealand is an outlier compared to other jurisdictions including Australia, the United Kingdom, the European Union, and the United States. All of these jurisdictions have legislative frameworks to address online harm for young people (see **Appendix 3** below for a comparison of features of comparable international frameworks). The lack of online child safety regulation in New Zealand presents an opportunity for the Government to proactively address these harms, prioritise the wellbeing of young people and align our regulations with comparable jurisdictions.

Proposed solution

We recommend a two-pronged approach to addressing social media harm for children, consisting of child online safety legislation and a public education campaign.

¹ Online risks arise when a child: (a) engages with and/or is exposed to potentially harmful content; (b) experiences and/or is targeted by potentially harmful contact; (c) witnesses, participates in and/or is a victim of potentially harmful conduct; and (d) is party to and/or exploited by a potentially harmful contract: <u>Sonia Livingstone and Mariya Stoilova (2021) The 4Cs</u>: <u>Classifying Online Risk to Children</u>. See **Appendix 2** below for more details.

² For example, <u>Netsafe's report from the Global Kids Online study</u> (Pacheco and Melhuish, 2019) found that a quarter of children (9-17 years old) had been bothered or upset by something that happened online in the last year and nearly half of these children (46 percent) said they were fairly or very upset by that online experience. Almost half of teenagers (13-17) had been exposed to potentially harmful online content – including self-harm (20 percent) and suicide (17 percent) material. Of the teenagers who reported being exposed to potentially harmful content, 28 percent said they were "fairly" or "very" upset, and that number was higher for girls (38 percent) compared to boys (18 percent).

³ Professor Miriam Lips and Dr Elizabeth Eppel (2022) Mapping Media Content Harms: A report prepared for Department of Internal Affairs at 12. 3440-7883-5257

Child online safety legislation

We recommend that the Government begins a policy process to develop a regulatory framework to protect children from online harm, with a view to introducing legislation in the next Parliamentary term.

We also recommend that the National Party includes this legislation in its election commitments for the 2026 election, to obtain a clear mandate for its enactment.

As a starting point, the design of the legislation should be broadly based on the Australian Online Safety Act 2021 (as amended by the Online Safety Amendment (Social Media Minimum Age) Act 2024). This could include the following features:

- obligations on certain social media platforms in relation to children under 16 years of age. This could take the form of the Australian approach, which requires platforms to take "reasonable steps" to prevent children under the age of 16 from having an account including age verification, or a requirement for platforms to provide warning labels and content advisories for children under 16;
- a broad definition of social media platforms, with the ability to include or exclude particular platforms in this definition through secondary legislation, to future-proof policy and ensure riskproportionate regulation of social media platforms based on the risk of harm to children on that platform;
- an independent statutory body to regulate the framework this could be a newly established regulator or a reformed and fully independent Netsafe with a new scope, powers, governance structure, and funding model. The regulator would be broadly modelled on Australia's eSafety Commissioner⁴ and / or the UK's Ofcom Online Safety division,⁵ and oversee implementation of industry codes, enforce standards, and ensure compliance with an age-based social media framework. We note that Netsafe, in its current form, does not have the independence or enforcement capability required to fulfil this role, therefore structural and legislative changes would be essential for Netsafe to fulfil this role effectively;
- industry codes and standards broadly aligned with those in Australia,⁶ which would be approved, monitored and enforced by the new regulator; and
- enforcement mechanisms such as detection, prevention, removal, reporting and fines.

Public awareness and education campaign

We also recommend that the Government launch a national multimedia campaign to inform parents, build public consensus, and create a new norm around children's online habits. This should include:

• a formal statement acknowledging social media as high-risk for under-16s, stating that the Government cannot guarantee child safety on social media platforms and does not recommend their use for children under 16 years of age;⁷ and

information on current and emergent harms, media literacy and critical thinking skills to identify, avoid or respond to harmful content, and building skills to identify misinformation.

⁴ The eSafety Commissioner's role includes: awareness raising and education about online safety issues; investigating complaints about online abuse and illegal and restricted content; approving, monitoring and enforcing industry codes and standards; and collaborating with governments, organisations, advocates, community groups and tech industry representatives to guide regulatory policy: <u>What we do | eSafety Commissioner</u>.

⁵ Under the UK Online Safety Act 2023, Ofcom is responsible for publishing and enforcing Codes of Practice and other guidance: <u>Ofcom's approach to implementing the Online Safety Act</u>.

⁶ <u>Register of industry codes and industry standards for online safety | eSafety Commissioner.</u>

⁷ As an example, the U.S. Surgeon General made a statement saying "we cannot conclude social media is sufficiently safe for children and adolescents and [this Advisory] outlines immediate steps we can take to mitigate the risk of harm to children and adolescents."

APPENDIX 2

The CO:RE classification of online risk to children

age-inappropriate marketing or user- generated content markicialisation and extremist recruitment communities e.g. self, harm, anti-vaccine adverse peer pressures persuasion or purchase Privacy violations (interpersonal, institutional, commercial) Physical and mental health risks (e.g., sedentary lifestyle, excessive screen use, isolation, anxiety) Inequalities and discrimination (in/exclusion, exploiting vulnerability, algorithmic bias/predictive analytics)	Cost potentially harmful content targeted by potentially harmful adult contact participates in or is a victim of potentially harmful peer conduct exploited by potentially harmful contract Aggressive Violent, gory, graphic, racist, hateful or extremist information and communication Harassment, stalking, hateful behaviour, unwanted or excessive surveillance Bullying, hateful or hostile consensual sexual proming, sextorition, the generation and sharing of child sexual abuse material Bexual harassment, non- consensual sexual messaging, adverse sexual pressures Trafficking for purposes of sexual abuse Values Mis/disinformation, age-inappropriate marketing or user- cutting Ideological persuasion or manipulation, radicalisation and extremist recruitment Potentially harmful user communities e.g. self- harm, anti-accine, adverse gersuasion or purchase Sexual abuse Gambling, filter bubbles, micro-targeting, dark patterns shaping persuasion or purchase Cross- cutting Privacy violations (interpersonal, institutional, commercial) Privacy violations, (interpersonal, institutional, commercial) Physical and mental health risks (e.g., sedentary lifestyle, excessive serse use, isolation, anxiety) Inequalities and discrimination (in/exclusion, exploiting vulnerability, algorithmic bias/predictive analytics) curvee: Livingstone & Stollova, 2021 Costicutal	C O R E or is exposed to potentially harmful content targeted by potentially harmful adult contact participates in or is a victim of potentially harmful peer conduct exploited by potentially harmful contact Aggressive Violent, gory, graphic, racist, hateful or extensit information and communication Harassment, stalking, hateful or hostile communication or peer activity e.g. trolling, exclusion, shaming Identity theft, fraud, phishing, scams, hacking, blackmail, security risk Sexual Pornography (harmful or illegal), sexualization of culture, oppressive body image norms Sexual harassment, sexual grooming, sextortion, the generation and sharing of child sexual abuse material Sexual presures or consensual sexual messaging, adverse sevel presures Trafficking for purposes of sexual exploitation, streaming ipaid-for) child sexual abuse material Values Mis/disinformation, age-inappropriate generated content Ideological persuasion or manipulation, radicalisation and extremist recruitment Potentially harmful user communities e.g. self Gambling, filter bubbles, micro-targeting, dark patterns shaping persuasion or purchase Cross-cutting Physical and mental health risks (e.g., sedentary lifestyle, excessive screen use, isolation, anxiety) Inequalities and discrimination (in/exclusion, exploiting vulnerability, algorithmic bias/predictive analytics) cource: Livingstone & Stollova, 2021 Stollowa, 2021 Stollowa, 2021		Content	Contact	Conduct	Contract
racist, hateful or extremist information and communication hateful behaviour, unwanted or excessive surveillance communication or peer activity e.g. trolling, exclusion, shaming phishing, scams, hacking blackmail, security risks Sexual Pornography (harmful or ilegal), sexualization of culture, oppressive body image norms Sexual harassment, sexual generation and sharing of child sexual abuse material Sexual harassment, non- consensual sexual messaging, adverse sexual pressures Trafficking for purposes of sexual exploitation, streaming paid-for) child sexual abuse Values Mis/disinformation, age-inappropriate marketing or user- generated content Ideological persuasion or manipulation, radicalisation and extremist recruitment Potentially harmful user adverse peer pressures Gambling, filter bubbles, micro-targeting, dark patterns shaping persuasion or purchase Cross- cutting Privacy violations (interpersonal, institutional, commercial) Physical and mental health risks (e.g., sedentary lifestyle, excessive screen use, isolation, anxiety) Inequalities and discrimination (in/exclusion, exploiting vulnerability, algorithmic bias/predictive analytics) ource: Livingstone & Stoilova, 2021 Cross- cutting	racist, hateful or extremist information and communication hateful behaviour, unwanted or excessive surveillance communication or peer activity e.g. trolling, exclusion, shaming phishing, scams, hacking blackmail, security risks Sexual Pornography (harmful or ilegal), sexualization of culture, oppressive body image norms Sexual harassment, sexual generation and sharing of child sexual abuse material Sexual harassment, non- consensual sexual messaging, adverse sexual pressures Trafficking for purposes of sexual exploitation, streaming paid-for) child sexual abuse Values Mis/disinformation, age-inappropriate marketing or user. Ideological persuasion or manipulation, radicalisation and extremist recruitment Potentially harmful user adverse peer pressures Gambling, filter bubbles, micro-targeting, dark patterns shaping persuasion or purchase Cross- cutting Privacy violations (interpersonal, institutional, commercial) Physical and mental health risks (e.g., sedentary lifestyle, excessive screen use, isolation, anxiety) Inequalities and discrimination (in/exclusion, exploiting vulnerability, algorithmic bias/predictive analytics) purce: Livingstone & Stoilova, 2021 Cross- cutting	racist, hateful or extremist information and communication hateful behaviour, unwanted or excessive surveillance communication or peer activity e.g. trolling, exclusion, shaming phishing, scams, hacking blackmail, security risks Sexual Pornography (harmful or ilegal), sexualization of culture, oppressive body image norms Sexual harassment, sexual generation and sharing of child sexual abuse material Sexual harassment, non- consensual sexual messaging, adverse sexual pressures Trafficking for purposes of sexual exploitation, streaming paid-for) child sexual abuse Values Mis/disinformation, age-inappropriate marketing or user- generated content Ideological persuasion or manipulation, radicalisation and extremist recruitment Potentially harmful user adverse peer pressures Gambling, filter bubbles, micro-targeting, dark patterns shaping persuasion or purchase Cross- cutting Privacy violations (interpersonal, institutional, commercial) Physical and mental health risks (e.g., sedentary lifestyle, excessive screen use, isolation, anxiety) Inequalities and discrimination (in/exclusion, exploiting vulnerability, algorithmic bias/predictive analytics) ource: Livingstone & Stoilova, 2021 Cross- cutting	CO RE	or is exposed to potentially harmful	targeted by potentially	participates in or is a victim of potentially	exploited by potentially harmful
or illegal), sexualization of culture, oppressive body image norms grooming, sextortion, the generation and sharing of child sexual abuse material consensual sexual messaging, adverse sexual pressures of sexual exploitation, streaming (paid-for) child sexual abuse Values Mis/disinformation, age-inappropriate marketing or user- generated content Ideological persuasion or manipulation, radicalisation and extremist recruitment Potentially harmful user communities e.g. self, harm, anti-vaccine adverse peer pressures Gambling, filter bubbles, micro-targeting, dark patterns shaping persuasion or purchase Cross- cutting Privacy violations (interpersonal, institutional, commercial) Physical and mental health risks (e.g., sedentary lifestyle, excessive screen use, isolation, anxiety) Inequalities and discrimination (in/exclusion, exploiting vulnerability, algorithmic bias/predictive analytics) urce: Livingstone & Stoilova, 2021 Officient	or illegal), sexualization of culture, oppressive body image norms grooming, sextortion, the generation and sharing of child sexual abuse material consensual sexual messaging, adverse sexual pressures of sexual exploitation, streaming (paid-for) child sexual abuse Values Mis/disinformation, age-inappropriate marketing or user- generated content Ideological persuasion or manipulation, radicalisation and extremist recruitment Potentially harmful user communities e.g. self, harm, anti-vaccine adverse peer pressures Gambling, filter bubbles, micro-targeting, dark patterns shaping persuasion or purchase Cross- cutting Privacy violations (interpersonal, institutional, commercial) Physical and mental health risks (e.g., sedentary lifestyle, excessive screen use, isolation, anxiety) Inequalities and discrimination (in/exclusion, exploiting vulnerability, algorithmic bias/predictive analytics) urce: Livingstone & Stoilova, 2021 Officient	or illegal), sexualization of culture, oppressive body image norms grooming, sextortion, the generation and sharing of child sexual abuse material consensual sexual messaging, adverse sexual pressures of sexual exploitation, streaming (paid-for) child sexual abuse Values Mis/disinformation, age-inappropriate marketing or user- generated content Ideological persuasion or manipulation, radicalisation and extremist recruitment Potentially harmful user communities e.g. self, harm, anti-vaccine adverse peer pressures Gambling, filter bubbles, micro-targeting, dark patterns shaping persuasion or purchase Cross- cutting Privacy violations (interpersonal, institutional, commercial) Physical and mental health risks (e.g., sedentary lifestyle, excessive screen use, isolation, anxiety) Inequalities and discrimination (in/exclusion, exploiting vulnerability, algorithmic bias/predictive analytics) urce: Livingstone & Stoilova, 2021 Officient	Aggressive	racist, hateful or extremist information	hateful behaviour, unwanted or excessive	communication or peer activity e.g. trolling,	phishing, scams, hacking
age-inappropriate marketing or user-generated content manipulation, radicalisation and extremist recruitment communities e.g. self, harm, anti-vaccine adverse peer pressures micro-targeting, dark patterns shaping persuasion or purchase Cross-cutting Privacy violations (interpersonal, institutional, commercial) Physical and mental health risks (e.g., sedentary lifestyle, excessive screen use, isolation, anxiety) Inequalities and discrimination (in/exclusion, exploiting vulnerability, algorithmic bias/predictive analytics) purce: Livingstone & Stollova, 2021	age-inappropriate marketing or user-generated content manipulation, radicalisation and extremist recruitment communities e.g. self, harm, anti-vaccine adverse peer pressures micro-targeting, dark patterns shaping persuasion or purchase Cross-cutting Privacy violations (interpersonal, institutional, commercial) Physical and mental health risks (e.g., sedentary lifestyle, excessive screen use, isolation, anxiety) Inequalities and discrimination (in/exclusion, exploiting vulnerability, algorithmic bias/predictive analytics) purce: Livingstone & Stollova, 2021	age-inappropriate marketing or user-generated content manipulation, radicalisation and extremist recruitment communities e.g. self, harm, anti-vaccine adverse peer pressures micro-targeting, dark patterns shaping persuasion or purchase Cross-cutting Privacy violations (interpersonal, institutional, commercial) Physical and mental health risks (e.g., sedentary lifestyle, excessive screen use, isolation, anxiety) Inequalities and discrimination (in/exclusion, exploiting vulnerability, algorithmic bias/predictive analytics) purce: Livingstone & Stollova, 2021	Sexual	or illegal), sexualization of culture, oppressive	grooming, sextortion, the generation and sharing of	consensual sexual messaging, adverse	of sexual exploitation, streaming (paid-for) child
Cutting Physical and mental health risks (e.g., sedentary lifestyle, excessive screen use, isolation, anxiety) Inequalities and discrimination (in/exclusion, exploiting vulnerability, algorithmic bias/predictive analytics) urce: Livingstone & Stoilova, 2021	Cutting Physical and mental health risks (e.g., sedentary lifestyle, excessive screen use, isolation, anxiety) Inequalities and discrimination (in/exclusion, exploiting vulnerability, algorithmic bias/predictive analytics) urce: Livingstone & Stoilova, 2021	Cutting Physical and mental health risks (e.g., sedentary lifestyle, excessive screen use, isolation, anxiety) Inequalities and discrimination (in/exclusion, exploiting vulnerability, algorithmic bias/predictive analytics) urce: Livingstone & Stoilova, 2021	Values	age-inappropriate marketing or user-	manipulation, radicalisation and	communities e.g. self harm, anti-vaccine	micro-targeting, dark patterns shaping
official	official	official			al health risks (e.g., sedentar	y lifestyle, excessive screen u	se, isolation, anxiety)
	eased	eased			Officie		

APPENDIX 3

Online Safety Legislation	Australia	UK	EU	USA	Canada	NZ
Online Safety Law	Online Safety Act 2021	Online Safety Act 2023	Digital Services Act	In Progress – Draft KOSPA / COPPA 2.0	In Progress – Draft Bill C-63	Nil
Safety by Design approach	Y	Partially	Y	IP	IP	N
Secure by Design approach	-	Y	Y	IP	IP	Ν
Narrow coverage / Content focused (narrow focus on illegal content like CSAM, content that is harmful to children)	Y	Y	Y	IP	₽ C	N
Broad coverage / Systems focused (safety by design, child safety, design principles, privacy)	-	Y	Y	IP	IP	Ν
Duty of care approach	Y	Y	-	IP	IP	Ν
Risk Mitigation approach	Y	Y	Y	IP	IP	Ν
Detailed definition of illegal / harmful content and different approaches of the law to different types	Y	Y	çÓ	IP	IP	Ν
Flexible definition of illegal content / material and law applies to all types of illegal content / material	_	-	Y	IP	IP	Ν
Generative AI legal capabilities	Y	ý Ó	Y – Al Act	IP	IP	N
Algorithm / dark pattern restrictions	IP	Ç Y	Y	IP	IP	Ν
Bans on targeted advertising profiling children	IP	Partially	Y	IP	IP	Ν
		ransparency re	ports			
Strong transparency and reporting requirements with prescribed metrics. Includes detailed risk assessments.	Y	Y	Y	IP	IP	Ν
Risk Assessment required	Y Due 21 June 2025	Y Due July 2025	Y Due 16 March 2025	IP	IP	Ν
Voluntary reporting	N	N	N	IP	IP	Y
70	In	dependent Reg	ulator			
Independent Regulator or competent authority → enforcement power → Information gathering power	Y eSafety	Y Ofcom	Y Digital Services Coordinator/ Commission	IP	IP	N
The Industry drafts standards or Codes, which are approved, monitored and enforced by the Regulator	Y	Ν	Y	IP	IP	Ν
Regulator "holds the pen" / defines the standards or codes	N	Y	Ν	IP	IP	Ν
Strong powers to enforce compliance / redress – strong penalties / fines for non- compliance	Y 30,000 penalty units (currently AUD \$9.9m)	Y up to £18 million or 10% GAT	Y up to 6% GAT	IP	IP	N
Turn off / service restriction powers – for failures to comply	N	Y	Y	IP	IP	Ν
Strong public facing complaints system	Y	Y	Y	IP	IP	N

Features of comparable international frameworks

Source: Holly Brooker, Makes Sense 2025

3440-7883-5257